UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,365	09/25/2003	Baldev S. Ahluwalia	GEMS8081.149	2364
	7590 05/16/2008 KI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (GEMS)		EXAMINER	
136 S WISCONSIN ST			CHENG, JACQUELINE	
PORT WASHINGTON, WI 53074			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

info@zpspatents.com rlt@zpspatents.com klb@zpspatents.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/605,365	AHLUWALIA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JACQUELINE CHENG	3768		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TI 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pi			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7,9-14 and 18-20 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	rawn from consideration. o. d/or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shankaranarayanan (US 7,251,520 B2).
- 4. The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in

Art Unit: 3737

the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

- 5. Shankaranarayanan discloses a method of setting a pulse sequence to acquire imaging data in a moving table MRI. The system comprises the usual MRI parts including the standard gradient coils positioned about a bore, transmitting and receiving components for transmitting and receiving a RF signal, and control and arithmetic operation components (col. 8 line 19-50, fig. 1). The imaging step of this apparatus include a step of the technologist inputting a series of imaging parameter identifiers such as TR, T1, flip angle, and the like. This pulse sequence can be a gradient echo sequence with a spectrally selective RF pulse. Tailoring a pulse sequence would include determining details such as the length of the train of alpha pulses to be applied in the gradient echo sequence (col. 7 line 52-col. 8 line 8, col. 6 line 2-4, col. 4 line 10-11, col. 4 line 40-49). The pulse sequence is created on the fly as once the imaging parameters are inputted the pulse sequence is tailored to the parameters and then applied. Also throughout the imaging, as the portion that is to be imaged changes throughout the scan, a different contrast property or different image quality might be needed and therefore the image parameters and pulse sequence would need to be changed on the fly (col. 7 line 39-51).
- 6. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shankaranarayanan as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Foo'967 (US 5,256,967). Shankaranarayanan does not explicitly disclose many details about the actual pulse sequence that is applied to the tissue. It would be obvious to use any well known pulse sequence that would

Art Unit: 3737

provide the desired image and which is best suited for the region of interest that is to be imaged. For example if the region of interest that is being examined is the breast tissues for tumors, it would be obvious to use a pulse sequence such as disclosed by Foo'967 as the pulse sequence in Foo'967 provides a good image in which to distinguish tumors from fat tissues by using a pulse sequence which suppresses the fat tissues after applying spectrally selective RF inversion pulses (col. 3 line 38-55, col. 4 line 23-68).

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foo'946 (US 6,498,946 B1). Foo'946 discloses an MRI system which comprises the usual MRI parts including the standard gradient coils positioned about a bore, transmitting and receiving components for transmitting and receiving a RF signal, and control and arithmetic operation components (col. 2 line 30-35, fig. 1). In the system of Foo'946 an inversion pulse is applied and then a series of excitation (alpha) pulses is applied such that the signal from the tissue (blood) is near a null point. Although Foo'946 does not explicitly state determining the time interval and the number of pulses, in order to perform the timing execution, these factors must be known or else too few or too many excitation pulses could be applied and the desired result of reaching the null point will not be achieved (col. 2 line 5-12).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7, 9-14, and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3737

9. Claims 15 and 16 are allowed.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JACQUELINE CHENG whose telephone number is (571)272-

5596. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian L Casler/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit

3737

JC